

Winston Submits Amicus Brief Regarding Vested Health Benefits

NOVEMBER 10, 2014

Winston & Strawn's amicus brief, submitted on behalf of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce and the Business Roundtable, was referenced in the *National Law Journal's* November 10, 2014, article titled "[No Clear Rule Likely From Justices on Retiree Health Benefits](#)."

The high court heard argument this week in *M&G Polymers v. Tackett*, which asks whether retiree health benefits are presumed to "vest" for life when a bargained agreement is silent on the issue. Applying its own longstanding precedent, the Sixth Circuit ruled that such benefits do continue, in perpetuity, and may not be altered by the company.

On behalf of the Chamber and BRT, Winston submitted a brief warning that upholding the Sixth Circuit "threatens to impose enormous and unforeseen retroactive funding liabilities on American companies." The amici thus urged the court to adopt a clear rule that extends health benefits beyond the end of an agreement only when explicitly stated.

The full brief is available [here](#).

1 Min Read

Related Locations

Chicago

Washington, DC

Related Topics

Appellate

Appellate and Critical Motions

Appellate Attorneys

Appellate Brief

Appellate & Critical Motions

Supreme Court Brief Bank

Health and Welfare Benefits

Vesting Schedule

Collective Bargaining

Collective Bargaining Agreement

Related Capabilities

Appellate & Critical Motions

Health Care

Related Regions

North America

Related Professionals



Linda Coberly



Derek G. Barella