



Joe Netikosol

Associate

Los Angeles
+1 213-615-1912

Joe is a registered patent attorney with a technical background in computer engineering who focuses his practice on patent litigation. His experience spans a wide range of technologies including high performance computing (HPC), semiconductor, wireless digital communications, computer networking, electronic encryption, noise cancellation, and optical microscopy, in federal courts and before the ITC.

Joe is experienced in various aspects of patent litigation, including developing invalidity, infringement, and non-infringement theories, as well as working on discovery-related matters. He also has experience in trade secret litigation, having participated in an action relating to flash memory. He is a member of the firm's Videogame, Gaming and Esports Group dedicated to providing comprehensive legal solutions to companies in these industries.

As a registered patent attorney, he also has experience prosecuting patent applications before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO). Prior to attending law school, Joe worked as a system engineer.

Recognitions

Joe was recognized by *Best Lawyers: Ones to Watch® in America* for Entertainment and Sports Law in 2023 and 2024.

Activities

Member, Los Angeles Association of Business Trial Lawyers

Credentials

EDUCATION

Joe received his B.S. in computer engineering from the University of California, Santa Barbara in 2005. He received his J.D. from the George Washington University Law school in 2014 where he was a member of the Student Intellectual Property Association and the Asian & Pacific American Law Students' Association.

ADMISSIONS

- U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
- California

Related Insights & News

Joe has experience writing on intellectual property issues relating to the Supreme Court and Federal Circuit cases, recent changes in intellectual property laws, and rules from the United States Patent and Trademark Office. His select articles include:

- Co-Author. "AIA Post-Grant Proceedings: Broadest Reasonable Interpretation Standard in IPR Upheld by Supreme Court," *Lexology*, June 2016.
- Co-Author. "Tell Me Everything: Communications Between Applicants and Non-Attorney Patent Agents Are Now Privileged," *Lexology*, March 2016.

RECOGNITIONS

Winston Attorneys Recognized in *Best Lawyers: Ones to Watch® in America* 2024

AUGUST 17, 2023

RECOGNITIONS

Winston Team Highlighted in Litigator of the Week Column

OCTOBER 14, 2022

RECOGNITIONS

AUGUST 18, 2022

CLIENT ALERT

Patent Term Extension Under 35 U.S.C. § 156 Covers an Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient—including Any Salt or Ester of the Active Ingredient—but Does Not Encompass a Metabolite of the Active Ingredient or Its De-Esterified Form

APRIL 21, 2020

NEWS

Judge Rules for Winston Client in Videogame Patent Dispute

MARCH 25, 2020

CLIENT ALERT

Email Distribution of a Reference to a Listserv May Be Sufficient to Show Public-Accessibility Under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

JULY 15, 2019

Capabilities

Patent Litigation

Trade Secrets, Non Competes & Restrictive Covenants

Intellectual Property

Technology, Media & Telecommunications