



Sharon Lin McIntosh

Associate

Washington, DC +1 202-282-5756

Sharon is a senior associate with significant experience in all phases of patent and PTAB litigation. She uses her biochemistry and physiology background to help clients navigate complex intellectual property issues.

Sharon concentrates her practice on patent litigation and due diligence. She has experience representing clients in cases involving a wide range of technologies, including generic pharmaceutical and biosimilar products, medical devices, software and operating systems, mobile applications, and cloud services. Her technical background enables her to efficiently navigate a wide range of complex, scientific issues.

Sharon has significant experience handling all phases of litigation leading up to trial, including drafting pleadings, as well as dispositive and non-dispositive motions; constructing invalidity and non-infringement defenses; drafting expert reports; taking and defending fact and expert depositions; arguing motions; assisting with pre-trial and post-trial activities; and preparing witness for direct and cross-examination. She also has extensive experience preparing validity, freedom-to-operate, and patentability opinions, and litigating cases before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.

Credentials

EDUCATION

Sharon received a B.S. in biochemistry in 2007 and an M.S. in physiology and biophysics in 2009 from Georgetown University. She received her J.D., *cum laude*, from Georgetown University Law Center in February 2016.

ADMISSIONS

- District of Columbia
- New York

Related Insights & News

NEWS

Winston & Strawn and PETA File Emergency Motion to Stop Waccatee Animal Evacuation SEPTEMBER 14, 2022

BLOG

Party sanctioned for providing confidential transcript of deposition taken in PTAB proceeding with counsel at same firm who were working on parallel district court litigation

DECEMBER 16, 2021

BLOG

Board Institutes Over Patent Owner Particularity and Word Count Objections OCTOBER 13, 2021

BLOG

A Large Number of Means-Plus-Function Limitations Justifies Parallel Petitions Challenging Different Claims of Same Patent on Same Grounds

SEPTEMBER 30, 2021

BLOG

Parallel Petitions on The Same Challenged Patent Instituted Where One Petition Was Based on An Earlier Related Patent That Lacked Written Description Support For The Later Challenged Claims

SEPTEMBER 10, 2021

CLIENT ALERT

Carving Out a Patented Indication from a Generic-Pharmaceutical Label Will Not Always Avoid Infringement SEPTEMBER 7, 2021

BLOG

Board Institutes in Spite of *Fintiv* Even Though the WDTX Trial Was Scheduled To Complete First and the Court Had Already Reached a Markman Decision

AUGUST 31, 2021

BLOG

AAPA Cannot Be "Basis" for IPR, Even if Combined With Prior Art Patents or Publications AUGUST 24, 2021

BLOG

Fintiv Does Not Apply To Remanded Decisions AUGUST 13, 2021

BLOG

Rehearing Granted and IPR Instituted Because Board Improperly Placed Burden of Disproving Priority Date of Challenged Patent on Petitioner

JUNE 15, 2021

BLOG

Parallel District Court Proceedings Against Petitioner's Customers Do Not Bar Institution Under *Fintiv* MAY 11, 2021

BLOG

District Court Awards §285 Sanctions Against Patent Owner for Arguments Made to PTAB In Preliminary Response MAY 5, 2021

Capabilities

Intellectual Property	Patent Litigation	Medical Devices
Technology, Media & Telecommunications		Life Sciences