

BLOG



JANUARY 30, 2020

The Federal Trade Commission (FTC) recently announced the annual changes to the jurisdictional thresholds for Section 7A of the Clayton Act. These changes affect the notification thresholds for Hart-Scott-Rodino Act (HSR Act) premerger notifications and will apply to all transactions that close on or after February 27, 2020.

The HSR Act requires all persons or entities contemplating certain mergers or acquisitions that meet or exceed the jurisdictional thresholds of the Act to file notifications of that transaction with the FTC and the Department of Justice. Once both parties have submitted their HSR filings, the enforcement agencies have up to 30 days to decide whether to seek additional information – a process commonly known as a "Second Request." The parties also have the option to request "Early Termination," which, if granted, will shorten the 30-day waiting period to approximately two weeks. If the 30-day waiting period expires without the issuance of a Second Request, or if Early Termination is granted, the parties are free to close the transaction. If a Second Request is issued, the government has 30 days from the date the parties substantially comply with the request to decide whether to let the transaction proceed or attempt to block it in court.

The HSR Act thresholds are adjusted annually, based on changes to the gross national product. The "size of transaction" threshold has been increased from \$90 million to \$94 million. With very limited exceptions, any transactions valued below \$94 million need not be reported. For transactions between \$94 million and \$376 million (up from \$359.9 million), the "size of person" test further determines whether a filing need be made. For an HSR filing to be required in this range, one party must have annual net sales or total assets of at least \$188 million (up from \$180 million) and the other party must have annual net sales or total assets of at least \$18.8 million (up from \$18 million). If a transaction is valued above \$376 million, the "size of person" test is not applicable.

Set forth below is a table displaying the original dollar amount thresholds of the HSR Act and new 2020 thresholds, which will become effective on February 27, 2020:

2020 Jurisdictional Thresholds

ORIGINAL THRESHOLD 1

2020 THRESHOLD

ORIGINAL THRESHOLD ¹	2020 THRESHOLD
\$10 million 7A(a)(2)(B)(ii)(I) 7A(a)(2)(B)(ii)(III)	\$18.8 million
\$50 million 7A(a)(2)(B)(i) § 801.1(h)	\$94 million
\$100 million 7A(a)(2)(B)(ii)(I) 7A(a)(2)(B)(ii)(II) 7A(a)(2)(B)(ii)(III) § 801.1(h)	\$188 million
\$500 million § 801.1(h)	\$940.1 million
\$1 billion § 801.1(h)	\$1,880.2 million

^[1] These are the thresholds that appear in the statute. The statute contains the language "as adjusted" after these thresholds to indicate the yearly adjustment based on GDP.

The filing fee amounts the parties must pay have not changed, although they are tied to the new thresholds:

2020 Filing Fee Thresholds

FILING FEE	GREATER THAN	LESS THAN
\$45,000	\$94 million	\$188 million
\$125,000	\$188 million	\$940.1 million
\$280,000	\$940.1 million	

Failure to file an HSR Notification and Report Form is subject to a statutory penalty of up to \$43,280 per day for

noncompliance. The HSR thresholds are only one part of the analysis to determine whether an HSR filing is required. Please contact one of the authors with any questions regarding the reportability of a transaction. Winston lawyers regularly advise parties regarding the reportability of transactions, as well as guide clients through the reporting process and any government investigation that may follow.

2 Min Read

Authors

Richard Falek

Conor Reidy

Patrick Hogan

Related Locations

Washington, DC

Related Topics

Antitrust Intelligence

M&A

Department of Justice (DOJ)

Federal Trade Commission (FTC)

Related Capabilities

Antitrust/Competition

Related Regions

North America

Related Professionals



Richard Falek



Conor Reidy



Patrick Hogan

This entry has been created for information and planning purposes. It is not intended to be, nor should it be substituted for, legal advice, which turns on specific facts.