

BLOG



NOVEMBER 29, 2018

According to a <u>Reuters article</u>, German prosecutors recently indicted a former employee of German chemicals manufacturer, Lanxess, for stealing trade secrets and exploiting them in China.

Starting in 2011, a senior engineer at Laxness with access to mass company data began e-mailing a non-employee, "Mr. U," who German prosecutors named as a co-defendant, information about a Lanxess chemical reactor. Years later, the defendants erected a 400 ton-per-year, rival reactor in China and founded several companies to export copycat products. They advertised globally and heralded themselves as future suppliers to Lanxess customers. After discovering the theft and firing the engineer, Lanxess brought a civil suit in Dusseldorf early last year, in which an appellate court awarded Lanxess about €167,000 in damages and ruled defendants liable for future harms stemming from the theft. Now German prosecutors have brought criminal charges against both defendants, each carrying a maximum prison sentence of four years.

China has been eager to join the high-tech products market, and according to Reuters, German intelligence agencies suspect that Chinese entities could resort to theft for entrance. This suspicion echoes concerns that now-former United States Attorney General, Jeff Sessions, articulated when he recently announced the Department of Justice's new China Initiative.

TIP: Companies should be wary that their employees abroad may be working with international competitors and that their employees at home may be sending private information abroad. They may find some relief, however, as prosecutors in various countries are increasing their efforts to curb trade secret theft.

1	Min	Read

Author

Steven Grimes

Related Locations

Chicago

Related Topics

Asia Privacy

Trade Secrets

Related Capabilities

Privacy & Data Security

Trade Secrets, Non Competes & Restrictive Covenants

Related Regions

North America

Related Professionals



Steven Grimes

This entry has been created for information and planning purposes. It is not intended to be, nor should it be substituted for, legal advice, which turns on specific facts.