



U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Washington, DC 20229

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

January 7, 2022

(b)(6)

Dear (b)(6)

This is in response to your July 15, 2021, letter, which you characterize as a request for “reconsideration” of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) Headquarters Ruling HQ H317289 (March 25, 2021). This ruling is a modification of a previous Headquarters ruling issued to Great Lakes Dredge and Dock, HQ H309186 (Jan. 27, 2021). Both rulings relate to whether the transportation and installation of scour protection rocks on the U.S. Outer Continental Shelf (“OCS”) by a non-coastwise-qualified vessel would violate the coastwise laws, including the Jones Act, 46 U.S.C. § 55102.

The relevant statute, 19 U.S.C. § 1625(b), states in pertinent part: “A person may appeal an adverse interpretive ruling and any interpretation of any regulation prescribed to implement such ruling to a higher level of authority within the Customs Service for de novo review.” Insofar as you are not appealing an adverse interpretive ruling issued to you, we do not consider your letter an appeal filed under 1625(b). This matter has been administratively closed. If you have any further questions, please contact me at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Sincerely,

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Supervisory Attorney-Advisor/Chief
Regulatory Reform and Priority Programs Branch
Office of Trade, Regulations and Rulings
U.S. Customs and Border Protection



U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

January 7, 2022

(b)(6)

Dear (b)(6)

This is in response to your May 20, 2021, letter which you characterize as an appeal filed pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1625(b) of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) Headquarters Ruling HQ H317289 (March 25, 2021). This ruling is a modification of a previous Headquarters ruling issued to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock, HQ H309186 (Jan. 27, 2021). Both rulings relate to whether the transportation and installation of scour protection rocks on the U.S. Outer Continental Shelf (“OCS”) by a non-coastwise-qualified vessel would violate the coastwise laws, including the Jones Act, 46 U.S.C. § 55102.

The relevant statute, 19 U.S.C. § 1625(b), states in pertinent part: “A person may appeal an adverse interpretive ruling and any interpretation of any regulation prescribed to implement such ruling to a higher level of authority within the Customs Service for de novo review.” Insofar as you are not appealing an adverse interpretive ruling issued to you, we do not consider your letter an appeal filed under 1625(b). This matter has been administratively closed. If you have any further questions, please contact me at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Sincerely,

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Supervisory Attorney-Advisor/Chief
Regulatory Reform and Priority Programs Branch
Office of Trade, Regulations and Rulings
U.S. Customs and Border Protection



U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Washington, DC 20229

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

January 7, 2022

(b)(6)

Dear (b)(6)

This is in response to your May 19, 2021, letter which you characterize as an appeal filed pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1625(b) of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) Headquarters Ruling HQ H317289 (March 25, 2021). This ruling is a modification of a previous Headquarters ruling issued to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock, HQ H309186 (Jan. 27, 2021). Both rulings relate to whether the transportation and installation of scour protection rocks on the U.S. Outer Continental Shelf (“OCS”) by a non-coastwise-qualified vessel would violate the coastwise laws, including the Jones Act, 46 U.S.C. § 55102.

The relevant statute, 19 U.S.C. § 1625(b), states in pertinent part: “A person may appeal an adverse interpretive ruling and any interpretation of any regulation prescribed to implement such ruling to a higher level of authority within the Customs Service for de novo review.” Insofar as you are not appealing an adverse interpretive ruling issued to you, we do not consider your letter an appeal filed under 1625(b). This matter has been administratively closed. If you have any further questions, please contact me at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Sincerely,

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Supervisory Attorney-Advisor/Chief
Regulatory Reform and Priority Programs Branch
Office of Trade, Regulations and Rulings
U.S. Customs and Border Protection