Case 6:21-cv-00367-ADA Document 459 Filed 08/24/23 Page 1 of 6

August 24, 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

#### VERDICT FORM

BY: \_\_\_\_ Jennifer Clark

DEPUTY

In answering the following questions, you are to follow the instructions I have given you in the court's charge. Your answers to each question must be unanimous.

#### As used herein:

"Halliburton" refers to Halliburton Energy Services, Inc., Halliburton US Technologies, Inc., and Halliburton Group Technologies, Inc.

"U.S. Well Services" refers to U.S. Well Services, LLC.

The "'333 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 9,435,333.

The "'325 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 7,574,325.

The "'695 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. RE47,695.

The "Halliburton Asserted Claims" refers collectively to Claim 1 of the '333 Patent; Claim 18 of the '325 Patent; and Claim 56 of the '695 Patent.

8 (24) 2/3 6 (24) 2/3 6 39 9.m.

## I. <u>INFRINGEMENT</u>

# Directions - Question No. 1

In answering the Questions below, please mark either "Yes" or "No" for each listed asserted claim in the spaces provided.

**Question No. 1:** Did Halliburton prove by a preponderance of the evidence that U.S. Well Services infringed the following asserted claims?

"YES" is a finding for Halliburton. "NO" is a finding for U.S. Well Services.

'333 Patent	Claim 1:	YES	NO _
'325 Patent	Claim 18:	YES	NO <u></u>
'695 Patent	Claim 56:	YES	NO

Please proceed to Question No. 2.

## II. <u>INVALIDITY</u>

## Directions - Question No. 2

In answering the Question below, please mark either "Yes" or "No" for each listed asserted claim in the spaces provided.

Question No. 2: Did U.S. Well Services prove by clear and convincing evidence that the following Halliburton Asserted Claims are invalid for obviousness?

"YES" is a finding for U.S. Well Services. "NO" is a finding for Halliburton.

'333 Patent	Claim 1:	YES	NO
'325 Patent	Claim 18:	YES 🗸	NO
<u>'695 Patent</u>	Claim 56:	YES _ ✓	NO

Please proceed to Question No. 3.

If you have reached this point in the verdict form, you should have answered questions 1 and 2. If you have not answered Questions 1 and 2, please go back and answer them before proceeding.

If you answered "NO" for ALL parts in Question 1, OR "YES" to ALL the Asserted Claims and Patents listed in Question 2, then do not answer any further questions. Proceed to the last page, have your Jury Foreperson sign and date this Verdict Form, and then deliver it to the Court Security Officer. You should not pay attention to any other instructions between this point and the signature page found at the end of this verdict form.

You should only proceed to answer Question 3 if you (1) answered "YES" for any claims in Question 1, and (2) you answered "NO" for those same claims in Question 2.

## IV. <u>DAMAGES</u>

Answer Question 3 only if there is at least one Halliburton Asserted Claim for which you answered "YES" in Question 1, AND you answered "NO" for the same Halliburton Asserted Claim in Question 2.

#### Directions - Question No. 3

For Question No. 3, provide a dollar amount in the space provided.

Question No. 3: What sum of money, if paid in cash today, has Halliburton proven by a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Halliburton for any infringement of the Halliburton Asserted Claim(s) by U.S. Well Services through March 31, 2023?

'333 Patent:	\$
<u>'695 Patent:</u>	\$ 
'325 Patent:	\$

Please proceed to the next page.

You have now reached the end of the Verdict Form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Jury Foreperson should then sign and date the Verdict Form in the spaces below. Once this is done, notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict. The Jury Foreperson should keep the Verdict Form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

I certify that the jury unanimously concurs in every element of the above verdict.

Date:	8/24/23	Signature:	
-------	---------	------------	--