

# Market Trends 2019/20: Confidentially Marketed Public Offerings

A Lexis Practice Advisor® Practice Note by Eric Johnson, Michael Blankenship, Ben Smolij, and John Niedzwiecki, Winston & Strawn LLP



Eric Johnson  
Winston & Strawn LLP



Michael Blankenship  
Winston & Strawn LLP



Ben Smolij  
Winston & Strawn LLP



John Niedzwiecki  
Winston & Strawn LLP

This practice note discusses the market trends for confidentially marketed public offerings (CMPOs) in 2019 as well as deal structure and process, and outlook for 2020. A CMPO, sometimes referred to as a wall-crossed offering or

pre-marketed offering, is an offering that is initially marketed to specific institutional investors who may have an interest in purchasing the issuer's securities. During this initial, confidential phase, no public announcement of the offering is made, and no preliminary prospectus or free writing prospectus is used. Once the issuer and initial institutional investors agree on the basic offering terms, the offering is then flipped into a public offering shortly before pricing so that the underwriter can market the offering more broadly to other institutional investors and to retail investors.

Selling efforts may be completed over the next trading day but are often completed on an overnight basis with the final pricing and terms announced before the market opens the next day. The securities then settle and close like a traditional firm commitment underwritten public offering on a T+2 basis.

For more information on CMPOs, see [Confidentially Marketed Public Offerings](#), [Confidentially Marketed Public Offerings: Questions to Ask Before Proceeding](#), and [Equity Offerings Comparison Charts](#). For information on other types of equity offerings, see [Initial Public Offerings Resource Kit](#), [Follow-On Offerings Resource Kit](#), and [Private Placements Resource Kit](#).

In the several years prior to the 2008 financial crisis, a large majority of follow-on offerings were fully marketed offerings, which can take several days to weeks to complete. Since the financial crisis, the number of fully marketed offerings has dropped significantly. From 2009 to 2014, fully marketed offering volume, on average, represented only 32% of all follow-on offerings. From 2015 to 2018, the volume collapsed further to an average of only 14%, hitting a new low of 12% in 2018. In 2019, fully marketed offering volume rebounded slightly to 17% of all follow-on offerings, but still generally consistent with the four-year trend.

Traditional, full marketing has been effectively replaced by unannounced and accelerated offerings, such as overnight CMPOs, other accelerated book builds and bought deals. Overnight CMPOs spiked in the years immediately following the financial crisis, but returned to historical levels from 2013 to 2015. A soft market in 2016 saw a resurgence in CMPOs as issuers opportunistically accessed public capital markets. And a relatively soft 2019 saw another spike in overnight CMPO volume to 36% of all follow-on activity.

## Deal Structure and Process

### Conducting a CMPO

To successfully conduct a CMPO, the issuer and the underwriter need to ensure the following are in place or otherwise addressed:

- An effective shelf registration statement on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)
- A standardized wall-crossing process for use by the underwriter's sales force in its discussions with investors who may express interest in the offering during the confidential phase
- A preliminary prospectus supplement reflecting the offering terms that is ready to go on short notice once the issuer and the underwriter decide to flip the offering to a public offering
- Compliance with stock exchange listing requirements for public offerings
- Completion of due diligence on a very short time frame
- Compliance with Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (FINRA) rules

### Effective Shelf Registration Statement

An issuer considering a CMPO must have an effective registration statement on file with the SEC that will cover the securities to be sold. In addition, the issuer and underwriter must be cognizant of any limitations on the size of the proposed CMPO. In general, if the aggregate market value of the issuer's common stock held by non-affiliates is \$75 million or more, the issuer can offer up to the maximum amount of securities available under its effective registration statement. However, if the aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates is less than \$75 million, the issuer can only offer up to one-third of that market value over a 12-month period. This one-third size limitation is typically referred to as the baby shelf rule. For further information on shelf registration statements, see [Shelf Registration, Market Trends 2018/19: Shelf Registrations and Takedowns](#), and [Top 10 Practice Tips: Shelf Registration Statements and Takedowns](#).

### Confidential Marketing and Wall-Crossing

In a typical CMPO, as described above, the underwriter approaches a discrete number of specific institutional investors who may have an interest in purchasing the issuer's securities. Without identifying the issuer, the underwriter asks each potential investor if it wants to be "brought over the wall" (in other words, whether or not the potential investor is willing to receive confidential information about the issuer, to keep that information confidential, and to not trade in the issuer's securities for a certain period of time). Typically, the confidentiality agreement is solicited orally pursuant to a standardized script and then later confirmed in writing via email. For a form of script, see [Investor Wall-Crossing Script and Email Confirmations](#). Some investors will agree to the confidentiality restrictions only if the issuer agrees to make a public disclosure of any material nonpublic information in the event the offering is abandoned, which is known as a cleansing disclosure. The cleansing disclosure would allow investors to resume regular trading in the issuer's securities.

If the potential investor agrees to the confidentiality requirements, then the underwriter will disclose information about the offering, including the identity of the issuer and the offering details. The marketing materials shared with potential investors during this confidential phase are typically limited to an issuer's filings under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (e.g., Form 10-K and Form 10-Q), and the issuer's general investor presentations that are already in the public domain. By avoiding disclosure of nonpublic information or providing new written materials, the issuer can avoid the need to publicly file such information or materials or make any other cleansing disclosure if the offering is abandoned. After the marketing materials are shared, negotiations take place among the investors and the issuer and its underwriter regarding the offering (e.g., pricing discount, the terms of any warrants, and similar matters).

### Flipping to a Public Offering

Once basic terms have been agreed to with the initial investors during the confidential phase, the issuer will announce the offering after market close and file its preliminary prospectus supplement. The underwriters will then commence the public offering phase of the CMPO to market the offering more broadly to other institutional investors and to retail investors. Marketing efforts may continue into the evening until the offering is priced. The final terms, including pricing and the terms of the warrants, if any, are announced the next day before the market opens. Following pricing, the securities settle and close like any traditional underwritten public offering on a T+2 basis. For more information, see [Registered Securities Offerings Post-IPO](#).

## Exchange Compliance Requirements

The listing rules for both The Nasdaq Stock Market (Nasdaq) and the New York Stock Exchange require shareholder approval for any offering, other than a public offering, where an issuer sells 20% or more of its outstanding common stock priced at a discount to market value (commonly referred to as the 20% rule). See [20% Rule and Other NYSE and Nasdaq Shareholder Approval Requirements](#). Because CMPOs are typically priced at a discount to market and often exceed the 20% size threshold, it is crucial that the CMPO be considered a public offering so as to avoid the requirement for shareholder approval.

Unfortunately, not every registered firm commitment underwritten offering will be deemed a public offering. Neither exchange has provided a bright-line definition of public offering, but they have provided some useful guidance. Specifically, Nasdaq's staff will consider a number of factors, none of which are dispositive, including the following:

- The type of offering (firm commitment offerings are viewed more favorably than other offerings)
- Manner of the marketing of the offering, including the number of investors approached and the overall breadth of the marketing effort
- Extent of the distribution (e.g., the number and identity of the investors, any preexisting relationships between the issuer and the investors, and the mix between institutional and retail)
- Offering price (i.e., the extent of the discount to market)
- Extent to which the issuer controls the offering and the distribution

The flip to a public offering as described above is intended to eliminate any concern as to whether the CMPO is a public offering for purposes of the 20% rule. Flipping to a public offering can also help fill out the book for the CMPO.

## Due Diligence and Other Legal Considerations

Due diligence for a CMPO, even though somewhat hybrid in nature, is not materially different from a traditional underwritten public offering. The underwriter will generally conduct the same issuer diligence, including calls with the auditors and certain subject matter experts, and will still require legal opinions and comfort letters. The primary difference is the CMPO timetable. The often very short time from kick off to pricing (often just a few days) means the diligence obligations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, can be difficult to fulfill. For further information on due diligence, see [Due Diligence for Securities Offerings Resource Kit](#), [Due Diligence Considerations for a Follow-On Offering](#), and [Top 10 Practice Tips: Due Diligence for Securities Offerings](#).

A CMPO will often require compliance with FINRA's corporate financing rule, Rule 5110, certainly for smaller cap issuers that are not eligible for any exemption therefrom. FINRA's same-day clearance process, which went into effect in 2010, has significantly streamlined the review process for registered offerings and likely contributed to the increased use of CMPOs in recent years. For further information, see [FINRA Rule 5110 Requirements Checklist](#).

## Market Outlook

Due to a softening global outlook together with continued market volatility, which can quickly turn a favorable capital-raising environment into an unfavorable one, CMPOs, together with other unannounced and accelerated offerings, should continue to represent a significant majority of follow-on offerings in 2020. As noted above, in 2019, overnight CMPOs alone represented approximately 36% of follow-on activity, and when combined with other accelerated book builds, represented almost 67% of all volume. CMPOs, combining private marketing, a quick flip into a traditional registered offering without any shareholder approval, and broad market acceptance, are here to stay and will likely expand their share of follow-on offerings as we move into 2021 and beyond.

---

**Eric Johnson, Partner, Winston & Strawn LLP**

Eric Johnson has extensive experience representing private and public energy companies, including master limited partnerships, in a broad range of corporate and securities matters. Eric has represented both publicly-traded and privately-held companies in numerous mergers, stock purchases, asset purchases, and acquisition and disposition transactions. He has represented issuers, underwriters, and selling stockholders in registered and private offerings of equity and debt securities, tender offers, and exchange offers. Eric assists his publicly-traded clients on corporate governance matters. He also assists clients in connection with general commercial and contractual matters.

**Michael Blankenship, Partner, Winston & Strawn LLP**

Mike Blankenship focuses his practice on corporate finance, M&A, private equity and securities law. He regularly counsels public companies on strategic transactions, capital markets offerings, and general corporate and securities law matters. Mike represents both issuers and underwriters in U.S. and international capital markets transactions, including initial public offerings, and advises on corporate governance and securities market regulation.

**Ben Smolij, Associate, Winston & Strawn LLP**

Ben Smolij is a corporate associate in the Houston office. He advises companies on disclosure and reporting obligations under U.S. federal securities laws, stock exchange listing obligations, and general corporate governance matters. Ben's extensive experience includes representing issuers, MLPs, private investors, financial advisory firms, and private equity clients in a variety of capital markets and M&A transactions.

**John Niedzwiecki, Associate, Winston & Strawn LLP**

John Niedzwiecki is an associate in the firm's Houston office. He advises clients on securities filings, corporate governance best practices, and various transactional matters.

This document from Lexis Practice Advisor<sup>®</sup>, a comprehensive practical guidance resource providing insight from leading practitioners, is reproduced with the permission of LexisNexis<sup>®</sup>. Lexis Practice Advisor includes coverage of the topics critical to practicing attorneys. For more information or to sign up for a free trial, visit [lexisnexis.com/practice-advisor](https://www.lexisnexis.com/practice-advisor). Reproduction of this material, in any form, is specifically prohibited without written consent from LexisNexis.